Annex 2

Midterm Evaluation of the project
„Tasiop - Taking Action on Social Inclusion of Older People"

Questionnaire

1. **General Data**

   a. What network do you belong to?

   ☐ Bosnia and Herzegovina ☐ Macedonia ☐ Montenegro ☐ Serbia ☐ Albania

   b. What organization/institution do you belong to?

   ☐ CSO ☐ Governmental institution ☐ university/research institute ☐ Other

   c. How does your organization/institution work? (Multiple selections possible)

   ☐ On local level ☐ On national level ☐ On regional/international level

   d. What is your organization`s background? (Multiple selections possible)

   ☐ Social Welfare ☐ Humanitarian ☐ Research ☐ Advocacy ☐ Training ☐ Other

   e. What are the main services/activities your organization implements within its area of work?

   _____

   _____

   f. How relevant is the topic social inclusion of older people for

	Very Important	Important	Becoming more important	Partially important	Not important
1. The network	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Your organisation	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Your country	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

   g. How many employees does your organization/institution have?

   ☐ Up to 10 ☐ 11 – 30 ☐ 31 – 50 ☐ Over 50

   h. In which networks is your organization/institution a member?

   ☐ In local networks, such as

   ☐ In national networks, such as

   ☐ In international networks, such as

   ☐ In none

   ☐ I don´t know

2. Mobilization of national networks on social inclusion of older people (via the TASIOP project)

    a. What were crucial factors or moments that supported the mobilization of your network?

- ☐ TASIOP Project events, such as the kick-off meeting.
- ☐ TASIOP Project trainings, such as the regional training on proposal writing.
- ☐ Smaller, informal TASIOP project meetings or events.
- ☐ Red Cross of Serbia as TASIOP project coordinator
- ☐ TASIOP national project coordinators
- ☐ The topic of social inclusion of older people.
- ☐ Advocacy work and engagement in national policy process.
- ☐ Media coverage
- ☐ Personal contacts
- ☐ Project website
- ☐ Other factors, such as
- ☐ I don´t know

    b. What were obstructive factors that made the network mobilization difficult?

- ☐ Lack of motivation
- ☐ Difficulties in communication
- ☐ Missing relevance of the topic social inclusion of older people
- ☐ Lack of capacities
- ☐ Lack of time
- ☐ Lack of financial resources for concrete activities
- ☐ Other factors, such as
- ☐ I don´t know

    c. How did you overcome these challenges within your network?

   **d.** How do you assess the process of developing joint network structures regarding

	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. Leadership/Coordination of the process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Possibility to participate in the process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Outcome of the process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

    a. How do you assess the effectiveness of the developed joint network structures regarding

	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. Capacity building	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Basis for joint action	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

b. How do you rate the priority areas defined in your network regarding

	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. Relevance to the national situation.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Relevance to your organization´s mandate.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Possibilities to widen the impact of your daily work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

c. Within your network, how do you rate

|	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. Communication	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Exchange of information	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Leadership/Coordination	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Team-work	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Joint activities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Visibility of network	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

d. What are to your opinion the main benefits of your national network? What is better as compared to when no network existed?

☐ Awareness on social inclusion of older people raised on national level
☐ Cooperation with other CSOs improved
☐ Cooperation with other CSOs extended
☐ Exchange between CSOs and the government strengthened
☐ Development of network structures
☐ Development of joint network priorities
☐ Cooperation with the media strengthened
☐ Skills gained and capacities strengthened
☐ Knowledge on social inclusion of older people improved
☐ Synergies in social inclusion of older people and activities between different CSOs established
☐ Regional cooperation
☐ Exchange of best practice
☐ Advocacy activities
☐ Others, such as
☐ I don´t know

7. Capacity building

a. How do you rate the network´s influence on capacity building of all partners in the country?

	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. to engage in national policy processes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. to engage with other stakeholders in general; and in particular with:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
a) National government	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b) Ministries (pls sepcify) _____ _____ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c) Local government entities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
d) Media	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
e) Public service providers	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
f) Other (pls list) _____ _____ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. to secure funding for the activities of network members (e.g. via joint advocacy/lobbying)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

b. How do you rate the national network´s influence on capacity building of your organization?

	Very Good	Good	Satisfying	Bad	Very bad
1. to engage in the policy creation process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. to engage with other stakeholders in general; and in particular with:	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
a) National government	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b) Ministries (pls sepcify) _____ _____ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c) Local government entities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
d) Media	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
e) Public service providers	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
f) Other (pls list) _____ _____ _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. to secure funding for the activities of network	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

members (e.g. advocacy/lobbying)					

c. What kind of benefits do you or your organization have from the network?

d. What kind of negative effects do you or your organization see or fear from being part of the network?

e. Do you see any impact of activities and outputs that were already achieved through the network structure?

f. In which area do you see room for further development and improvement of your network?

g. What do you think your network would need in order to remain sustainable after phase out of the project?
- ☐ Funding for concrete activities
- ☐ Time resources
- ☐ Priority of social inclusion of older people in my organization/institution
- ☐ Partnering with other networks existing in the country
- ☐ Leadership/Coordination by one of the network members
- ☐ Revision of the network´s governance at the end of the project
- ☐ More network members
- ☐ Regional links to other networks
- ☐ Formal registration
- ☐ Others, such as
- ☐ I don´t know

3. Comparison to Situation Analysis:

As compared to the situation in the beginning of the initiative, would you say that the position of your organization has changed regarding:

	Very much	Quite much	Moderte	A little	Very little
1. Capacity to engage in policy processes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Participation in advocacy campaigns	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Collecting and exchanging data	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. Exchange of information with other organizations	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Engagement with the media	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. Visibility activities	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. Any other comments you would like to cast for the midterm review?